



St. Paul's Hospital Millennium Medical College

የቅዱስ ጳውሎስ ሆስፒታል ሚሊኒየም ሕክምና ኮሌጅ

Prevalence of Respiratory Symptoms And Associated Factor Among Street Sweepers In Addis Abeba, Ethiopia

Principal investigator: Wondwosen Andualem (BSC, MSC Respiratory Therapy candidate)

Addis Ababa, ETHIOPIA, Jun 2024



**St. Paul's Hospital
Millennium Medical College**
የቅዱስ ጳውሎስ ሆስፒታል ሚሊኒየም ሕክምና ኮሌጅ

St Paul hospital millennium medical college

Post Graduate Study Program

Research Thesis on Prevalence of Respiratory Symptom And Associated Factors
Among Street sweepers In Addis Ababa Ethiopia

Principal investigator: Wondwosen Andualem

Advisors: Dr Desalegn Keney (MD, MPH, Assistant professor of emergency
medicine and critical care, intensive care medicine fellow)

MR Habtamu kehali MPH.RT.MBA

A research thesis submitted to the department of intensive care medicine
presented in partial fulfillment of the requirement for MSC in respiratory therapy;
St Paul hospital millennium medical college

Addis Ababa, ETHIOPIA, Jun2024

Abstract

Background: Respiratory symptoms are manifestations of respiratory problems that are developed as the result of occupational exposures. Respiratory symptoms including cough, phlegm, wheezing, shortness of breath, and chest pain are manifestations of respiratory problems which are mainly evolved as the result of occupational exposures. They are more prevalent in developing countries, where occupational health and safety issues are less emphasized. In Ethiopia there are very few studies conducted on the respiratory health problems of road cleaners.

Objective: The study was conducted to assess the prevalence of respiratory symptoms and associated factors among street sweepers in Addis Ababa.

Methods: Community based Cross sectional study was conducted from March 15 –jun15, 2024. Systematic random sampling technique was used to select study participants. Data was collect through interviewer administered structured questionnaire adapted from British Medical Research Council. SPSS and Microsoft Excel applications was used for data entry, analysis and result presentation. Bivariate regression analysis was computed to select candidate variables for multivariate logistic regression analysis. Multivariate logistic regression model were fitted by using variables that had association ($p < 0.25$) with the dependent variable in bivariate analysis.

Result From the total 365 study participants 361 were included in this study which makes the response rate 98.9%. The respondents were assessed for the presence of one or more of respiratory symptoms: cough and/or phlegm and/or wheezing and/or chest illnesses and/or shortness of breath. The study indicates the prevalence of respiratory symptom was 45.4%

Conclusion and recommendation This study found that respiratory symptom was prevalent among street sweepers in AA. Use of face mask, OHS training, work experience, history of age 35-44 and energy used at home was major contributing factors for respiratory symptom to occur. There was poor awareness on occupational health and safety among street sweepers. Face mask and occupational health and safety training should be provided by the health office.

Individuals who had history of past illness should get health information during enrollment.

Key words street sweepers, Respiratory symptom, personal protective equipment , occupational exposure to dust.

Table of Contents

Abbreviation and Acronyms	viii
Acknowledgements	ix
1. Introduction	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Statement of the problem	3
1.3 Significance of the study	3
2. Literature Review	4
2.1 Prevalence of work related Respiratory Symptoms	4
2.1.1 Respiratory health among street sweeper workers	5
2.1.3 Determinants of respiratory health symptoms among street sweepers	7
1.3 Conceptual Framework	8
3. Objectives	9
3.1 General Objectives	9
3.2 Specific Objectives	9
4. Methods and Materials	10
4.1 Study area and period	10
4.2 Study design	11
4.3 Population	11
4.3.1 Source population	11
4.3.2 Study population	11
4.3.3 Inclusion criteria	11
4.3.4 Exclusion criteria	11
4.4 Sample size determination	11
4.5 Sampling technique and procedure	13
4.6 Study variables	14
4.6.1 Dependent variable	15
4.6.2 Independent variables	15
4.7 Operational definitions and definition of terms	15
4.8 Data collection tool and procedures	17
4.9 Data quality control	17

4.10 Data processing and analysis.....	18
4.11 Ethical consideration	18
4. 12 Plan for dissemination of result.....	18
5.1 socio demographic characteristics of street sweepers	19
5.2 Work environment characteristics.....	20
5.3 Behavioral and other Characteristics.....	22
5.4 Prevalence of Respiratory Symptom.....	24
5.5 Factors Associated with Respiratory Symptom	25
5.5.1 Bivariate Analysis.....	25
5.5.2Multi-variable Analysis	26
6. Discussion	28
6.1Strength and limitation of the study.....	30
6.11Strength of study	30
6.12Limitations of the study.....	30
6.2Conclusion and Recommendation	31
6.21Conclusion.....	31
6.22Recommendations	32
7.References.....	32
Consent form	39

List of Figure and Table

Table 1:Socio- demographic characteristics of Cleaners in AA (n=361), June 2024.....	19
Table 2:Work environment characteristics of Cleaners in AA (n=361), June 2024.....	20
Table 3:Behavioral and other Characteristics of Cleaners in AA (n=361), June 2024	22
Table 4:Factors Associated with Respiratory Symptom among Cleaners in AA (n=361), June 2024.....	25
Table 5:Multi-variable Analysis among Cleaners in AA (n=361), June 2024	27
Figure 1:The relationship between dependent independent variables of respiratory symptom and associated factor among street sweeper in Addis Abeba city.	9
Figure 2:History of respiratory illness among street sweeper AA 2024.....	23
Figure 3:Respiratory symptoms of street sweeper at AA 2024	24

Abbreviation and Acronyms

AA	-----	Addis Ababa
AOR	-----	Adjusted Odd Ratio
BMRC	-----	British Medical Research Counsel
COPD	-----	Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease
FDRE		Federal Democratic Republic of Ethiopians
MSW	-----	Mixed Solid Waste
ODTS	-----	Organic Dust Toxic Syndrome
OHS	-----	...Occupational Health
PPE		Personal Protective Equipment
SPSS	-----	Statal Package Of Social Science
SPHMMC	-----	St Paul's Hospital Millennium Medical College
URTI		Upper Respiratory Tract Infection
WHO	-----	World Health Organization

Acknowledgements

First of all I thank my advisors Dr Desalegn Keney (MD, MPH, Assistant professor of emergency medicine and critical care, intensive care medicine fellow), MR Habtamu kehali MPH.RT.MBA for their guidance and comment without which writing this research thesis was impossible.

Secondly Wachemo University, who give me scholarship, and the administration, staffs of St Paul's millennium medical college for their information provision.

1. Introduction

1.1 Background

As the quality of life is an important element of their competitive advantage, cities have to ensure a clean and safe environment for residents. Dealing with pollution caused by improper management of solid wastes should therefore be given priority. In the early days of its establishment, the problem of sanitation in Addis Ababa might not have been a significant problematical because the population was low at the time, and householders were in a position to dispose easily of their rubbish and other generated wastes. But later when the population increased, the problem became worse and the government started trying to minimize the problem by establishing a municipality in 1909, as a council of Addis Ababa to administer the city. Until 1994, solid waste management was the task of the Sanitation Division of the Department of Environmental Health and Social Services under the City Council (municipality). The Sanitation Division was responsible for all aspects of solid waste management. From 1994 to 2003, the mandate for the management of solid waste was transferred to the Addis Ababa Health Bureau. First, it was organized as Sanitation Division and later established as Environmental Sanitation Department in the Health Bureau. In January 2003, the municipal solid waste service was shifted to the Sanitation, Beautification and Parks Development Agency with its decentralized power to sub-city levels having solid waste divisions in the 10 sub-cities. Then the agency was replaced by the current Cleaning Management Agency in 2011 (1).

Respiratory symptoms such as cough, phlegm, wheezing, shortness of breath, and chest pain are manifestations of respiratory problems that are mainly developed as the result of occupational exposures (2).

Waste management activities namely, collection, transport, sorting, processing and disposal are carried out according to procedures that can have various negative effects on the environment and, potentially, on human health by posing risks resulting from the emissions or release of hazardous chemical agents and biological agents, from the types of exposure to these agents, and from the susceptibility of the populations exposed to them (2).

In most public and private institutions wastes are collected by Cleaners who are employed for

this purpose. These Cleaners face tremendous challenges while they are on duty. Lack of support and interest from their employers regarding health and protective measures put more load on them. Ways of disposal, isolation, separation, collection and disposal of the waste need to be addressed evaluated and managed properly by the institutions (3).

They suffered from different types of injuries, diseases and diseases like symptoms such as sore throat, cough, backache, diarrhea and bloody stool, shortness of breath, skin diseases, twisted ankle and a muscle tear (4). This occupation is physically strenuous, resulting in workers breathing through their mouths rather than their noses (2). Individuals who breathe through their mouths have higher pulmonary ventilation rates than those who breathe through their noses which aggravate the risk of respiratory problems (5). The risk of allergenic response to organic dusts can be greatly reduced if workers wear respiratory masks (6). Thus this study was intended to assess respiratory symptom and its associated factors among street sweeper Addis Abeba city .

1.2 Statement of the problem

Respiratory disease causes an immense worldwide health burden. It is estimated that 235 million people suffer from asthma, more than 200 million people have chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), and 65 million endure moderate-to-severe COPD (7).

In 2012 World Health Organization (WHO) reported that worldwide non-communicable diseases are the leading cause of mortality which accounts for 82 % of deaths and among those non communicable diseases chronic respiratory diseases, asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary diseases accounted for 4 million or 10.7 % deaths (8).

Street sweepers are exposed to a variety of inhalable agents such as dust, toxins and traffic emissions, which make them vulnerable to develop occupational respiratory diseases. In a supplementary evaluation of data from a cross-sectional study on 273 street sweepers in India (9) Waste collectors are prone to a number of hazards such as pathogens (bacteria, fungi, viruses, parasites and cysts), toxic substances (endotoxins and beta-glucans), chemicals that come from the waste itself and from its decomposition, as well as vehicle exhaust fumes, noise, extreme temperature , ultraviolet radiation, large amounts of household and commercial wastes, which are comprised of decomposable organic materials which may all contribute to respiratory problems (10). The prevalence of respiratory symptoms as well as impaired lung functional capacities was more common among garbage collectors than in their control counterparts. This is likely to be attributed to the occupational exposure of this group to workplace contaminants, particularly, bio aerosols (11).

Comparable waste collectors have been shown to suffer from variety of health effects including mucous membrane irritation, rhinitis, asthma, bronchitis, conjunctivitis, hyper sensitivity, allergic broncho pulmonary mycosis, dermatitis and diarrhea (12). Moreover, waste collectors often lack training, tools and information in order to perform their work in the best healthy and safe manner. In addition to these, routine medical checkup program for all waste collectors is mandatory to keep them safe and secure (13).

1.3Significance of the study

The finding of this study will provides information on the magnitude of respiratory symptom,

occupational and environmental factors associated with the problem. These will also help any public or private institutions to know the potential health risks and put safety measures in order to protect the health of their work force. Also, government, non-government, faiths based organizations, industries, municipal, contractors, agencies, authorities and policy makers may use the recommendation from the study. In addition, it will paves away for further research.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Prevalence of work related Respiratory Symptoms

Respiratory symptoms including cough, phlegm, wheezing, shortness of breath, and chest pain are manifestations of respiratory problems which are mainly evolved as the result of occupational exposures. The study conducted in United States on workers of different industry

showed that the prevalence of work related asthma was 3.70% (95% confidence interval (95% CI) 2.88 to 4.52) and the prevalence of work related wheezing was 11.46% (95% CI 9.87 to 13.05) (14).

The study conducted on Cotton dust exposed workers in Arba Minch textile factory revealed that the percentage prevalence of cough, phlegm, wheeze, breathlessness and chest tightness was 64.7%, 55%, 39%, 41% and 43% for exposed respondents, respectively and 25.5%, 14%, 8%, 6% and 0% for control subjects, respectively (15). The study in Jimma town showed that cobblestone road paving workers who had exposure to occupational dust had significantly higher odds of respiratory symptoms, dry cough ($p < 0.05$), cough ($p < 0.01$) and sore throat ($p < 0.001$) compared to office workers (16). The study in North Shoa showed that the prevalence of respiratory symptoms was 66.2% in cement factory workers and 31.2% in Civil servants with a significant difference ($p < 0.001$) (17). Similarly the study conducted in Dejen cement factory reported that the prevalence of chronic respiratory symptoms among Dejen cement factory workers was 62.9 %, with prevalence of chronic cough 24.5 %, chronic wheezing 36.9 %, chronic phlegm 24.5 %, chronic shortness of breath 38.6 %, and chest pain 21.0 % (18).

2.1.1 Respiratory health among street sweeper workers

The standards and norms for handling waste in industrialized countries have reduced occupational health and environmental impacts substantially. Most waste collection in these countries involves vehicles with low loading heights and easy to lift plastic containers (6). In developing countries the waste collection activities are typically conducted in micro and small scale enterprise at municipal level or by permanent or temporary employed cleaners at institutional level, with old equipment and virtually no dust control or worker protection. So, collection workers have significantly direct contact with waste, and are also exposed to more potential particulates, toxic materials, and gases and infectious microorganisms.

Protection of worker from occupational respiratory hazards depend on availability and proper utilization of personal protective equipment, which in low and middle income countries is in short supply with very limited monitoring of their utilization (22). Moreover solid waste collection workers often lack training, tools and information in order to perform their work in the best healthy and safe manner (14). Workers in waste collection suffer from increased levels of respiratory disorders. This occupation is physically strenuous, resulting in workers breathing through their mouths rather than their noses. Individuals who breathe through their mouths have higher pulmonary ventilation rates than those who breathe through their noses (6). Because of the nature of their occupation, waste collectors are exposed to large amounts of household and commercial wastes, which are comprised of decomposable organic materials (11). The Prevalence of respiratory symptoms as well as impaired lung functional capacities was more common among waste collectors than in their control counterparts. This is likely to be attributed to the occupational exposure of this group to workplace contaminants, particularly bio aerosols (12). In some studies high atmospheric concentration of bio aerosols has been found in the breathing air of workers engaged in collection, disposal and recycling of waste site (13). Some studies concluded that direct contact with waste could induce dry cough with exercise induced dyspnea, asthma, and organic dust toxic syndrome (ODTS). A suggested hypothesis was that the level of exposure to microorganisms was responsible for these symptoms (23). An increased risk of self-reported cough, phlegm, wheezing, dyspnea, and chronic bronchitis was found among 533 waste collectors compared with 320 office workers of the same municipalities in Taiwan (24). Also, an increased prevalence of asthma, spasm, throat dryness, nasal discharge, and coughing symptoms was found among waste collectors in Istanbul than in drivers, but these differences were not statistically significant ($p > 0.05$) (25). According to the study conducted in Miami, Florida on solid waste collectors, illnesses reported by the collectors included: rash or skin disease (46.1%), asthma, chronic coughing, breathing trouble, sinus congestion (29.4%) (7). In Palestine, 44.7% of domestic waste collectors have suffered from sore throat, cough, and high temperature, and 25% of them had suffered from shortness of breath in the last 12 months (26). It has been reported that there was a higher prevalence of respiratory ailments among (MSW) mixed solid waste collectors which were asthma, cold, cough, chronic bronchitis, bronchial asthma, and upper respiratory tract infection (URTI) (27).

A Study in Egypt Mansoura revealed that the most frequent respiratory complaint among MSW collectors during the past 12 months was shortness of breath (21%) and the least frequent was nasal blockage (2.5%). A study in Tanzania more waste handlers reported nasal irritation than other workers 62% and 25.7% respectively. Coughing was significantly reported more among refuse handlers than other workers 63% and 23 % respectively with $p = 0.00001$ (28). The study conducted on municipal waste collectors in Addis Ababa, Yeka sub city revealed that the overall prevalence of respiratory symptoms among solid waste collectors in Addis Ababa, Yeka sub city was 40.7% with prevalence of cough 35.7%, wheezing 21.2%, phlegm 44%, chest illness 7.3% and breathlessness 29.2% (30).


2.1.3 Determinants of respiratory health symptoms among street sweepers

Personal protective equipment is used or worn by a person to minimize risk to the person's health or safety and include a wide range of clothing and safety equipment. Provision of personal protective measures during collection hours together with automatization of waste collection of refuse will ease the job for the workers and reduces the exposure to dust and the incidence of respiratory complaints (27). The acute respiratory health effects can presumably be reduced by proper dust control measures such as personal protective respirators, training and education and Maintaining machines at the workplace (34). Study done in Addis Ababa showed that 43.6 % Were using PPE while they are on duty. Out of these PPE users 22.5 % of them reported that they were not using it all the time they are on duty (24). Occupational exposures to dust, fumes, and gases are associated with increased prevalence of respiratory symptoms and impairment of lung function (37). This was found to have direct relationship with the dust concentration and

duration of exposure (38). The higher prevalence of respiratory symptoms among MSW collectors could be attributed to the nature of their job.

1.3 Conceptual Framework

The summary of relation between independent variables such as socio demographic factors, behavioral factors, and environmental condition and safety factors are expected to contribute to the prevalence of respiratory symptoms. And socio-demographic factors are expected to influence behavioral and environmental condition and safety factors directly and indirectly there by resulting in a great deal of respiratory health symptoms. Behavioral factors and environmental condition and safety factors are expected to affect each other. The brief summary is charted in the figure as conceptual frame work putting into consideration of factors, assumptions and relationships mentioned in literature review






Figure 1: shows the relationship between dependent independent variables of respiratory symptom and associated factor among street sweeper in Addis Abeba city.

3. Objectives

3.1 General Objectives

- To assess the prevalence of respiratory symptom and associated factors among street sweepers in Addis Abeba, Ethiopia

3.2 Specific Objectives

- To assess the prevalence of respiratory symptom among street sweepers in Addis Abeba.
- To identify factors associated with respiratory symptom among street sweepers in Addis Abeba.

4. Methods and Materials

4.1 Study area

The capital of the Federal Democratic Republic of Ethiopia (FDRE), a seat of the African Union and a variety of international organizations, Addis Ababa is the largest as well as the political, economic, cultural and historical city of the country. The city is located in the heart of Ethiopia. It has an area of 540 square kilometers. The elevation of the city lies between 2,000 and 2,800 meters above sea level and is located between 9 degrees latitude and 38 degrees longitude. The mean minimum and maximum temperature of the city are 15C and 30C respectively, and the mean annual rainfall is 1,200 mm. The city with its population of about 4.5 million is considered a metropolitan city by the standard of any developing country. Addis Abeba city is divided in 11 sub cities and 116 woredas. From those 11 sub city 3 sub cities is selected for study. Addis ketma, colfe and Gulele sub city is study area within this 3 sub city there are 35 woredas and 1575 street sweepers.

4.2 Study period

. The study was conducted from March 15- Jun 15 2024

4.3 Study design

Community based cross-sectional study was conducted from.

4.4 Population

4.4.1 Source population: The source populations were all street sweepers working in AA city.

4.4.2 Study population: The study populations were street sweepers selected in Kolfe, Addis ketma and Gulele sub city in AA.

4.4.3 Inclusion criteria: street sweepers with a minimum of one year work experience were included in the study.

4.4.4 Exclusion criteria: The study was not including the street sweepers who are not on duty during the time of data collection. Street sweepers with part time engagement. Street sweepers who have a previous history of respiratory disease.

4.5 Sample size determination

Sample size was calculated by using single population proportion formula

$$n = (z\alpha/2)^2 * pq / d^2$$

$$n = (z\alpha/2)^2 * p (1-p) / d^2$$

$$n = (1.96)^2 [0.689(1-0.689)] / 0.0025$$

$$n = 330$$

Where, n=the required sample size

d=margin of error between the sample and population=5%=0.05

Z=standard normal distribution value at 95% confidence level

$Z \alpha/2 = 1.96$ for 95% confidence interval


p=Prevalence of respiratory infection symptom (68.9%) from the previous study conducted in Addis Abeba , (44).

N.B: Then, by adding 10% of non-respondent rate, final desired sample size is 330+ 10% non - response rate, n=**363**.

□□ Then, the final sample size will **363**.

4.6 Sampling technique and procedure

Systematic random sampling was used; first by referring the name list of the road cleaners written on excel sheet was gain from the Central Human Resource office of each Keble. To calculate K, the summation of the name list of the cleaners written on excel sheet was calculated. Then K is calculated by dividing the total the name list of the cleaners written on excel sheet by the sample size. The first study participant was selected from one to K by lottery method. Then every K^{th} street sweepers was selected from the record.



4.7 Study variables

4.7.1 Dependent variable

- Respiratory symptoms
 - Cough, phlegm, wheezing, chest illness, breathlessness

4.7.2 Independent variables

- **Socio-demographic factors:** age, sex, educational level, marital status, service years.
- **Work environment factors:** working Keble, training on occupational health and safety (OHS), availability or provision of PPE.
- **Behavioral and other factors:** smoking habit, sleeping problem, past illness, energy used at home

4.8 Operational definitions and definition of terms

Respiratory symptom: Respondent's report of the development of any one or more of the following Symptoms greater than two weeks in one year; cough, phlegm, breathlessness, wheezing, chest illness (39).

Cough: Respondent's report of experience of coughs as much as 4-6 times per day occurring for most days of the week (≥ 4 days) for at least three months in one year.

Phlegm: Respondent's report of sputum expectoration as much as twice a day for most days of the week (≥ 4 days) for at least three months in one year.

Wheezing: Respondent's report of a condition of causing a wheezy or whistling sound during inspiration/expiration at least three months in a year; occasionally, apart from that caused by a cough or acute upper respiratory infection in the chest at any time in the last 12months.

Chest illness: Respondent's report of chest pain that kept off work with phlegm occurring any time during the work shift and on any work day which last/s at least three months in one year.

Breathlessness: It is divided into 5 grades with the following definitions:

Grade 0: No breathlessness except with strenuous exercise.

Grade 1: Breathlessness when hurrying on the level ground or walking up a slight hill at least three months in a year.

Grade 2: Walking slower than people of the same age on the level because of

breathlessness or need to stop for breath when walking at own pace or level at least three months in a year.

Grade 3: Stopping for breath after walking about a certain distance or a few minutes on the level ground at least three months in a year.

Grade 4: Too breathless to leave the house or breathless when dressing or undressing at least three months in a year. So, that the study reported dyspnea grade 2 or more as outcome as of American thoracic society (1976) (40).

Smoking habit: Classified in to three: Never smokers: workers who used no cigarette.

Current smokers: workers who smoked at the time of the study or had stopped smoking less than one year before.

Ex-smokers: workers who had quit at least 1 year before the survey.

Sleeping problem: If the worker have trouble getting to sleep or sleeping through the night; walk up too early or have hard time waking up at all then classified as sleeping problem.

Proper use of face mask: clean hands with soap and water or sanitizer before touching the mask; sure there are no tears in each side of the mask; touch only the bands or ties when putting on and take of; mask sure the mask is tightly fit to cover the mouth, nose and chin; make sure breath and talk comfortably through your mask

Safety procurer: Wear PPE, Perform Walk-Around Inspections. Before starting a job, it is crucial to perform a walk-around inspection of the sweeper. Be Aware of Blind Spots, Use Caution When Dumping the Hopper; Be Aware of Load Limits. Communicate Prior to Starting the Sweeper.

4.9 Data collection tool and procedures

Data was collect by interviewer administered structured questionnaire. The questionnaire was adapted from British Medical Research Council's (BMRC) questionnaire on respiratory symptoms of 1986 (42) with required modification based on research objectives.

The questionnaire was prepared in English and translated to Amharic and translated back to English to ensure consistency. The questionnaire contains five major parts. Part one deals with socio-demographic characteristics of the respondents. Part two asks the questions related to working environments of the study participants. Part three contains the questions related to behavioral conditions. Part four contains other related factors. The last part contains questions which ask the presence of respiratory symptom.

4.10Data quality control

To maintain the quality of the data, structured and pretested questionnaire were used to collect information. Pretest was conducted on (5% of sample size) prior to actual data collection for validation of data collection tool. The data was collected from Nifas silk lafito sub city. One day orientation was give to data collectors on the data collection procedure and content of the questionnaire. One supervisor who can communicate with Amharic with bachelor degree in Nursing and above one year supervision experience was recruit to follow the data collection process. The collected information was checked on daily basis by the supervisor. Supervisor was check the collected data daily for its completeness and consistency then, corrections was made accordingly. Double data entry also was performed to see consistency in data entry. Overall supervision was carry out by the principal investigator .The collected data was checked for completeness and consistency every day at the time of data collection. Data cleansing also conducted any mistake or omission was corrected as on the same day of data collection.

5 Data processing and analysis

Filled questionnaires were checked for completeness and any incomplete information was excluded from the entry. The variables were coded and entered into EpiData version 3.1 computer software packages. Cleaning was done to avoid missing values, outliers and other inconsistencies before analysis. Cleaned data was exported to SPSS version 25 software package for analysis. Descriptive statistics such as frequencies, percentages, mean and medians were used to describe the variables of the study. Bivariate regression analysis was computed to identify candidate variables for multiple logistic regressions.

Variables that have association ($p < 0.05$) with the dependent variable in bivariate analysis were used as candidate variables. Multicollinearity among the candidate variables was checked. All candidate variables showed a variance inflation factor of less than 2. The variables were entered into the model by using the Enter method. Finally, the variables which have significant association were identified on the basis of Adjusted Odds Ratio (AOR) with 95 % CI and $p < 0.05$ by fitting multiple logistic regressions. The fitness of the model was checked by using Hosmer and Lemeshow test.

5.1 Ethical consideration

Ethical clearance was obtained from the Institutional Review Board of the Institute of Health, St Paul millennium medical college. The study objective was communicated in words to each respondent and verbal consent was secured.

An inconvenience for refusals was respected. Confidentiality was granted for the information collected from each study participant and privacy during interview was ensured.

5.2 Plan for dissemination of result

The final report of the study was submitted and presented to the department of intensive care medicine, St Paul millennium medical college and will be communicated to all concerned bodies including the city municipality. Hard and soft copy will be available in the library of SPHMMC for graduate students as well as for other concerned readers. Effort will be made to publish the study in one of the peer reviewed national or international journals and present the result in local or international held seminar, workshops, conference and meetings.

6 Result

6.1 Socio demographic characteristics of street sweepers

From the total 365 study participants 361 were included in this study which makes the response rate 98.9%. The mean age of the study participants were 36.95 (± 8.925) years. From 361 study participants 334(92.5%) were female while 278 (77%) were married. In other way the mean monthly income of the study participants were 3082.23 (± 500.92) ETB while the mean work experience of the study participants were 4.46 (± 2.017) years (Table 1).

Table 1: Socio- demographic characteristics of Cleaners in AA (n=361), June 2024

Variables		Frequency	Percentage
Sex	Male	27	7.5
	Female	334	92.5
Age	18-24	17	4.7
	25-34	141	39.1
	35-44	103	28.5
	≥ 45	100	27.7
Educational level	Able to read and write	67	18.6
	Primary	223	61.8
	Secondary	68	18.8
	Above 12	3	0.8
Marital status	Married	280	77.6
	Single	49	13.6
	Separated	2	0.6
	Divorced	28	7.8
	Widowed	2	0.6
Monthly income	≤ 2500	92	25.5
	> 2500	269	74.5
Family size	≤ 4	182	50.4
	> 4	179	49.6

6.2 Work environment characteristics

The study shows that 278(77%) of the study participants had less than five years work experience while only 51(14.1%) study participants were not take OHS training. In other way 99.4% of the study participants were working seven days a week. From the 220 study participants who were used face mask 91(25.2%) were used face mask more than five days a week. The study also indicates that 141(39.1%) of the study participants not used face mask during work time due to lack of supply while from 310 study participants who had get OHS training 176(56.7%) were get on job training, 107(34.5%) were get pre-employment training and 27(8.4%) were get on job training pre-employment. In other way from the total study participants 64(17.7%) were agree that there way positive incentive for following safety procedure during working time; from these positive incentives 34(9.4%) were recognition while 22(6.1%) were rewards of money with recognition. In this specific study 257 71.2%) of the study participants says that wastes managed finally by burying while 95 (26.3%) were saying by burning (Table2).

Table 2: Work environment characteristics of Cleaners in AA (n=361), June 2024

Variables		Frequency	Percent
Work experience	1-5	278	77
	> 5	83	23
Use of face mask	Yes	220	60.9
	No	141	39.1
Source of face mask	AA health office	60	27.3
	NGO	160	72.7
OHS training	Yes	310	85.9
	No	51	14.1
Who give training	AA health office	255	82.2
	NGO	55	17.8
Use of established procedure	Yes	243	67.3
	No	118	32.7
Kind PPE used	Glove, boots, uniform	160	44.3

	Glove, goggle, uniform	1	0.002
	Uniform, glove	200	55.69
Laundry	Yes	7	1.9
	No	354	98.1
Other work	Yes	137	38
	No	224	62
Waste management	Burning	95	26.3
	Burying	257	71.2
	Recycling	7	1.96
	Reuse	1	0.27
	Others	1	0.27

6.3 Behavioral and other Characteristics

The study indicated that 333 (92.2%) respondents had no smoking history, whereas 18 (4.9%) were ex. smoker. According to respondent's report 37 (10.3%) of them had sleeping problem in which all were had developed the problem after they employed a sweepers. The study revealed that 299 (82.2 %) respondents used electricity as primary energy source in their home, 144 (39.8%) were satisfied on their current work position and 164 (45.4%) had history of one or more respiratory illnesses. Pneumonia was the most prevalent of all the past illnesses reported by the respondent (Table 3 & Figure 2)..

Table 3:Behavioral and other Characteristics of Cleaners in AA (n=361), June 2024

Variables		Frequency	Percent
Smoking habit	Active smoker	10	2.9
	Ex. Smoker	18	4.9
	Never smoke	333	92.2
Sleeping problem	Yes	37	10.3
	No	324	89.7
Injury or operation	Yes	1	0.27
	No	360	99.73
Medical follow up	Yes	55	15.2
	No	306	84.8
Known medical diseases	Yes	59	16.3
	No	302	83.7
Source of energy at home	Electricity	299	82.8
	Fuel gas	4	1.1
	Biogas	58	16.1




Figure 2: History of respiratory illness among Cleaners AA 2024

6.4 Prevalence of Respiratory Symptom

The respondents were assessed for the presence of one or more of respiratory symptoms: cough and/or phlegm and/or wheezing and/or chest illnesses and/or shortness of breath. The study indicates the prevalence of respiratory symptom was 45.4% (95% CI: (38%, 52%)) and the prevalence of wheezing and breathlessness were lower than others(Figure 3)..




Figure 3:respiratory symptoms of road cleaners at AA 2024

6.5 Factors Associated with Respiratory Symptom

6.5.1 Bivariate Analysis

Bivariate logistic regression model was fitted to select candidate variables for multivariate

Logistic regression. Based on the bivariate analysis sex, age, use of face mask and OHS training showed significant association with respiratory symptoms (Table 4).

Table 4: Unadjusted Factors Associated with Respiratory Symptom among street sweepers in AA (n=361), June 2024

Variable		Respiratory symptoms		COR (95%CI)	P value
		Yes	No		
Sex	Male	66.7%	33.3%	0.388(0.17,0.889)	0.025
	Female	43.7%	56.3%	1	1
Age	18-24	0	100%	0.99(0.00,1.09)	0.99
	25-34	20.6%	79.4%	0.091(0.05,0.17)	0.000
	35-44	59.2%	40.8%	0.51(0.28,0.92)	0.027
	≥ 45	74%	26%	1	1
Educational level	Read & write	68.7%	31.3%	1.69(0.8,3.29)	0.175
	Primary	35%	65%	0.37(0.03,4.3)	0.42
	Secondary	57.4%	42.6%	0.4(0.23,0.69)	0.001
	Above 12	33.3%	66.7%	1	1
OHS training	Yes	39.4%	60.6%	7.19(3.38,15.3)	0.00
	No	82.4%	17.6%	1	1
Smoking habit	Active smoker	70%	30%	3.02(0.76,11.9)	0.113
	Ex. Smoker	66.7%	33.3%	2.59(1.41,7.07)	0.006
	Never smoke	43.5%	56.5%	1	1

Energy source at home	Electricity	45%	55%	2.13(0.65,0.95)	0.02
	Fuel gas	64.5%	35.5%	0.4(0.73,2.34)	0.121
	Biogas	89%	11%	1	1
Use of face mask	Yes	20.9%	79.1%	0.33(0.18,0.6)	0.000
	No	44%	56%	1	1
Work experience	1-5	41.7%	58.3%	1.91(1.16,3.14)	0.01
	> 5	57.8%	42.2%	1	1

6.5.2 Multi-variable Analysis

Based on the multivariate analysis Age, working experience, use of face mask, OHS training, sleeping problem, past illness and energy used at home were remained significant at $p < 0.05$

after adjusting for other factors. The odds of having respiratory symptom for Sweepers in the age group of 35-44 were (AOR=0.001; 95% CI=0.00,.008) less likely to develop respiratory symptoms compared to who are in the age of > 45. Sweepers who had not trained were 55% less likely (AOR=0.45; 95% CI=0.00, 0.58) to develop respiratory symptoms compared to those who had training. Sweepers who use face mask on duty had about 75% less likely (AOR=0.25; 95%CI=0.001, 0.52) to develop respiratory symptoms compared to those who didn't use face mask. Sweepers who had work experience less than five years were 5 times more likely (AOR=5; 95%CI=3.14,8.09) to develop respiratory symptoms compared to those who were had more than five years work experience.

Table 5: Multi-variable Analysis among Cleaners in AA (n=361), June 2024

Variable		Respiratory symptoms		AOR (95% CI)	P value
		Yes	No		
Sex	Male	66.7%	33.3%	0.59(0.001,3.55)	0.176
	Female	43.7%	56.3%	1	1
Age	18-24	0	100%	0.00(0.00,1.84)	0.999
	25-34	20.6%	79.4%	0.027(0.00,3.01)	0.133
	35-44	59.2%	40.8%	0.001(0.00,.008)	0.009
	≥ 45	74%	26%	1	1
Educational level	Read & write	68.7%	31.3%	4.83(0.00,6.54)	0.999
	Primary	35%	65%	0.00(0.00,12.52)	0.988
	Secondary	57.4%	42.6%	0.475(0.064,3.51)	0.912
	Above 12	33.3%	66.7%	1	1
OHS training	Yes	39.4%	60.6%	0.45(0.00,0.58)	0.002
	No	82.4%	17.6%	1	1
Smoking habit	Active smoker	70%	30%	4.26(0.00,10.23)	0.998
	Ex. Smoker	66.7%	33.3%	10.65(0.76,14.89)	0.079
	Never smoke	43.5%	56.5%	1	1
Energy source	atElectricity	45%	55%	5.5(0.64,4.77)	0.079

home	Fuel gas	64.5%	35.5%	0.99(0.00,2.05)	0.54
	Biogas	89%	11%	1	1
Use of face mask	Yes	20.9%	79.1%	0.25(0.001,0.52)	0.017)
	No	44%	56%		
Work experience	1-5	41.7%	58.3%	5(3.14,8.09)	0.006
	> 5	57.8%	42.2%	1	1

7. Discussion

This study showed that the prevalence of respiratory symptom among street sweeper's in Addis Abeba was 45.4%(95% CI=38%-52%,) which is lower than the report from Yeka sub city, Addis Ababa municipal waste collectors (30). The possible justification is sweeper had more trained on OHS training. The prevalence is found higher than that of study from Egypt, Mansoura among waste collectors (23) and Thailand among wood furniture manufacturers (18). This might be due

to the type of industries and the advancement of safety practices used in those countries. More specifically, the prevalence of cough was 20.2% which is less than 63% in refuse handlers in Tanzania (29), 44.7% in domestic waste collectors in Palestine (22), 35.7 % in Yeka sub city waste collectors (30) but greater than 13.4% in coble stone workers in Jimma (20). The prevalence of Phlegm was 10.8%, which is less than 44% in Yeka sub city waste collectors (30). The prevalence of wheezing was 35.7%, which is greater than 21.2% in Yeka sub city waste collectors (30).

The possible justification will be exclusion criteria the previous study includes who have respiratory symptom.

The prevalence of chest illness was 42.1% in this study. It was higher than that of Yeka sub city waste collectors which is 7.3% (30). The reason behind might different exposure status .In this study the breathlessness higher or above grade 2 were considered. Based on this the prevalence of breathlessness was 10.8% which is less than 25% in domestic waste collectors in Palestine (22) and 29.2% in Yeka sub city waste collectors (30). The prevalence was less than that of waste collectors in Egypt Mansoura which is 21% (23) but greater than cobble stone workers in Jimma town which is 7% (20). The differences in respiratory symptoms might be due to different environmental study setups, variation in type and nature of wastes with different level of respiratory hazards and different level of awareness and access towards use of effective respiratory equipment.

In this study respondents who had work experience less than five years were 5 times more likely to develop respiratory symptoms compared to those who were had more than five years work experience. This is may be due to having more work experience may give attention for the risk factors of respiratory symptoms. Sweepers who are in the age group of 35-44 were 99.9% times less likely to develop respiratory symptoms compared to who are in the age of >45. Sweepers who did use face mask on duty had about 75% less likely to develop respiratory symptoms compared to those who didn't use face mask. This is consistent with the study conducted in Yeka sub city (30). The sweepers who had trained were 55% less likely to develop respiratory symptoms compared to those who had training. This is consistent with the study in North Shoa which revealed that having trained in occupational health and safety was protective for respiratory symptoms (21)

7.1 Strength and limitation of the study

7.1.1 Strength of study

- The study used standardized tool to assess the respiratory symptom.
- The study may be useful for establishing preliminary evidence in planning a future advanced study.

7.1.2 Limitations of the study

- The healthy workers effect the study since waste collecting is highly demanding physical activity, workers with respiratory problems will tend to leave the job and shift to other

job where physical activity demand is lower. Therefore, the sick ones have already left the job or shifted to other job before the study and many healthy workers who were available during the data collection were enrolled in the study, which may contribute to underestimate the effect of interest of study.

- As it is self-reported of health problem, there might be under estimation of the magnitude of the problem due to recall bias.
- Shortage of literature on specific study population to compare the results.

7.2 Conclusion and Recommendation

7.21 Conclusion

This study found that respiratory symptom was prevalent among street sweepers in AA. Use of face mask, OHS training, work experience, Age of the participants were major contributing factors for respiratory symptom to occur. There was poor awareness on occupational health and safety among street sweepers. Also there was lack of attention on the employer side to the street sweeper's health and safety. This was manifested through non provision of facemask and training for street sweeper's use of facemask; having trained on

occupational safety, use of electric as energy source than using fuel gas were protective factors for respiratory symptom.

7.22Recommendations

- To Addis Abeba health office- Face mask and occupational health and safety training should be provided by the health office.
- To city municipality- Individuals who had history of past illness should get health information during enrollment.
- To Addis Abeba health office- Risk assessment has to be done periodically to identify behavioral problems because sleeping problem was observed as the predictor.
- To sweepers - Use of fuel gases such as kerosene should be avoided since fuel gas was observed as the most predictor for respiratory symptom.
- To researcher - Further researches are recommended to study the cause effect relationship and the role of chemical detergents on respiratory symptom.

8.References

1. Desta H. An overview of solid waste management systems in the city administration of Addis Ababa: past to present. LSE. 2022; 01.
2. Neghab M AC. Work related respiratory symptoms and ventilatory disorders among
3. Ahmed K. Investigation of Occupational health and safety hazards among domestic waste collectors Bethlehem and Hebron dis. An -najah university press , Nablus. 2004.
- employees of cement industry in Shiraz, Iran. J Occup Health. 2007;49:273–8.
4. Sales M G F. DMC, Martins I B, Serra I, Silva M R, Morais S. Waste management school

- approach towards sustainability. *Resource Conservation and Recycling*. 2006;48(2):197-207.
5. Päivi M SML, Zeldin D C. Indoor allergens in school and day care environments. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* 2009;124:185-92.
 6. Mbuligwe SE. Institutional solid waste management practices in developing countries: a case study of three academic institutions in Tanzania. *Resource Conservation and Recycling* 2002;35(131–146).
 7. Cointreau LS. Solid Waste. *International Occupational and Environmental Medicine*. 1998:620-32.
 8. Medina-Ramón JPZ, M Kogevinas, J Sunyer, J M Anto. Asthma symptoms in women employed in domestic cleaning: a community based study. *ASTHMA AND THE ENVIRONMENT*. 2018.
 9. World Health Organization (WHO). Report on global status of noncommunicable diseases. Available at <http://www.who.int/iris/bitstream/>. 2014.
 10. vera van kampen1*fh, christoph seifert1,thomas bruning2,,bruning1 Aj. occupational health hazards of street cleaners-a literature review considering prevention practices at the workplace. *International Journal of Occupational Medicine and Environmental Health*.2020;6:701-32.
 11. Eshetu Abera Worede HBA, Hailemariam Feleke, Engdaw aGT. Prevalence of Respiratory Symptoms and Risk Factors Among Street Sweepers in Gondar City Northwest Ethiopia,2021: A Cross- Sectional Study.sage.2021;16:1-6.
 12. Athanasiou GD. Respiratory health of municipal solid waste workers. *Oxford Journals Medicine Occupational* 2004;60(8618-623):618-23.
 13. Matheson MK, Benke G, Raven J, et al. Biological dust exposure in the workplace is a risk factor for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Thorax*. 2005;60:645–51.
 14. Wouters, Hilhorst S, Kleppe P, Upper airway inflammation and respiratory symptoms. 2007
 15. Lavoie CJD, T Kosatsky, A Dufresne. Exposure to aerosolized bacteria and fungi among Collectors of commercial mixed residential, recyclable and compostable waste. *Sci Total Environ* 2006;370.
 16. Arif LWW, G L Delclos, S R Tortolero, E S Lee. Prevalence and risk factors of work related asthma by industry among United States workers: data from the third national health and nutrition examinations survey (1988–94). *Occup Environ Med*. 2002;59::505–11.

17. James E, E Lora, Fleming, A Judy. Bean, AN Huren, Nicolette John, Jeff Rogers, Melissa Danits, . Solid Waste Management Health and Safety Risks: Epidemiology and Assessment to Support Risk Reduction. University of Miami, State University System of Florida, Florida Center for Solid and Hazardous Waste Management, University of Florida. March 2000.
18. International labor organization (ILO). Action towards prevention of occupational noncommunicable diseases, Geneva, Switzerland. . Available at http://www.worldpsior.org/sites/default/files/attachment/news/ilo_presentationpdf Accessed on 23 April 2015. 2011.

II

19. Kalahasthi PN, R Hirehal, R Raoa Evaluation of the relationship between pro- Inflammatory cytokines and health in workers involved in hazardous waste sites at Karnataka India. Journal of Research in Health Science 2010;10(1):7-14.
- .
20. In domestic waste collectors. Occup Environ Med. 2002;59:106–12.
21. Tesfaye Kankoa GS, FelekeG/meskelc, Eyayu Girmad, Belay Bodae, Behailu Tsegayef. Assessment of Respiratory Symptoms and Lung Function among Workers Exposed to Cotton Dust at Arba Minch Textile Factory, Arba Minch, Southern Ethiopia, 2017. International Journal of Sciences: Basic and Applied Research (IJSBAR). 2017;35(3):80-392.
22. Ibrahim KAHMS. Exposure to occupational dust and changes in pulmonary function among cobblestone paving workers of Jimma, Ethiopia. Rev Ambient Água.9(1).
23. Kebede Siyoum KAaMK. Respiratory Symptoms and Associated Factors among Cement Factory Workers and Civil Servants in North Shoa, Oromia Regional State, North West Ethiopia: Comparative Cross Sectional Study. Occupational Medicine & Health Affairs. 2014;2(4).
24. Zemichael Gizaw BYaTT. Chronic respiratory symptoms and associated factors among cement factory workers in Dejen town, Amhara regional state, Ethiopia, 2015. Multidisciplinary Respiratory Medicine. 2016;11(13).
25. Ramachandra T Varghese S . Exploring possibilities of achieving sustainability in solid waste management. Indian J Environ Health. 2003;45:255-64.

III

26. Sigsgaard BB, P Malmros. Respiratory impairment among workers in a garbage-handling plant. *American Journal of Industrial Medicine*. 1990;17:92-3.
27. Yang C, Chang W, Chuang H, Tsai S, Wu T, Sung F. Adverse health effects among Household waste collectors in Taiwan. *Environ Res*. 2001;85:195–9.
28. Issever HG, M Erelel, F Erkan, G Gungor . . 2002; 11:. Health problems of garbage collectors in Istanbul. *Indoor Built Environ*. 2002;11:293–301.
29. Milhem A. Investigation of occupational health and safety hazards among domestic waste collectors in Bethlehem and Hebron Districts. MSc thesis of environmental science, Faculty of Graduate Studies, AnNajah. 2009.
30. Hala Samir Abou-ElWaf , SohairFouad El-Bestar , Abdel-Hady El-Gilany & Ehab El-SayedAwad El-Toraby, . Respiratory Disorders Among Municipal Solid Waste Collectors in Mansoura, Egypt: A Comparative Study. *Archives of Environmental & Occupational Health*. 2014;69(2):100-6.
31. Markalio Gordon : T. occupational injuries, respiratory health problems and related factors Among refuse handlers at tanga city. 2008.
32. Zerihun Emiru MG, Tesfaye Yitna Chichiabell, Lemma Dessalegn and Antehun Alemayehu Anjulo. Assessment of respiratory symptoms and associated factors among solid waste collectors in Yeka Sub City, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. *Journal of Public Health and Epidemiology*. 2017;9:189-97,.
33. Zock MK, J. Sunyer, D. Jarvis, K. Tore´n, J.M. Anto´. Asthma characteristics in cleaning workers, workers in other risk jobs and office workers. *Eur Respir*. 2002.
34. Jan-Paul Zock MK, Jordi Sunyer, Enrique Almar, Nerea. Asthma risk, cleaning activities and use of specific cleaning products among Spanishindoor cleaners. *Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment & Health*. 2001;27(1):76-81.
35. Maya Obadia M, Gary M. Liss, MD, Wendy Lou, PhD,, James Purdham P, and Susan M. Tarlo, MB, BS. Relationships Between Asthma and Work Exposures Among Non-Domestic Cleaners in Ontario. *AMERICAN JOURNAL OF INDUSTRIAL MEDICINE* 2009;52:716-23.
36. Tanzania Demographic Health Survey. 2010.
37. Mudalige ADD. Health problem among Colombo municipal council workers ,AL 2000 batch of students. Colombo: Colombo University. The United Republic of Tanzania The Occupational health and Safety 2003;Act Supplement No. 4:50.

IV

38. Tam IF. A Study of Knowledge, Awareness, Practice and Recommendations among Hong Kong Construction Workers on Using Personal Respiratory Protective Equipment at Risk. *The Open Construction and Building Technology Journal*. 2008;2:69-81.
39. Daniel Bogale, Abera Kume, Worku Tefera. Assessment of occupational injuries among Addis Ababa city municipal solid waste collectors a cross-sectional study. *BMC Public Health*. 2014;169(14).
40. Gomes OI, NJ Norman, P Pahwa Dust Exposure and Impairment of Lung Function at a Small Iron Foundry in a Rapidly Developing Country. *Occup Environ Med*. 2001;58:656- 62.
41. Sopan GB, DW Nilesh, SP Vijaybhai, BA Sanjay Exposure to Vehicular Pollution and Respiratory Impairment of Traffic Policemen in Jalgaon City. 2005;43:656-62..
42. British Medical Research Council (BMRC), Standardized respiratory questionnaire on respiratory symptoms. *BMJ*. 1986;2:19-22.
43. American thoracic society recommended respiratory disease questionnaires for use with adults and children in epidemiological research. 1986:3-30.
44. Tamene MA, Hidat G, Beedemariam G, Mezgebe T (2017) Occupational Respiratory Health Symptoms and Associated Factor among Street Sweepers in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. *Occup Med Health Aff* 5: 262. doi:[10.4172/2329-6879.1000262](https://doi.org/10.4172/2329-6879.1000262)

8 Assurance of Principal Investigator

I, the undersigned, agree to accept all responsibilities for the scientific and ethical conduct of the research project. I was provide a timely progress report to my advisor and seek the necessary advice and approval from my advisors in the course of the research. I was communicate timely with my advisors and all stakeholders involved in the study, including any source of funding for this research.

Name of the student: _____

Signature: _____

Date: _____

Approval of the Examiner

Name of the examiner: _____

Signature: _____

Date: _____

Approval of the Primary Advisor

Name of the primary advisor: _____

Signature: _____

Date: _____

Approval of the Co-Advisor

Name of the primary advisor: _____

Signature: _____

Date: _____

Annex

Questionnaire (English Version)

I. Participant's Information Sheet

Title of the research project: Prevalence of respiratory symptom and associated factors among road Cleaners in Addis Abeba Ethiopia

Name of Principal Investigator: Wondwosen Andualem

Name of the Organization: St Paul hospital millennium medical College of intensive care medicine Department of respiratory therapy.

Introduction: This information sheet and consent form is prepared with the aim of explaining the research project that you are asked to join. The aim of the research project is to assess prevalence of respiratory symptoms and associated factors among road Cleaners in ADDIS ABEBA city

.Risk or Discomfort: By participating in this research project you may waste 20 minutes.

Incentives/Payments for Participating: You will not be provided any incentives or payment to take part in this project.

Confidentiality: The information collected for this research project will keep confidential and information about you that will be collected by this study will be coded with a number assigned to you without your name. And it will not be revealed to anyone except the research project team.

Right to Refusal or Withdraw: You have the full right to refuse from participating in this research project.

Person to contact: For any inconvenience, problem and more information you can contact the investigator through the following address. Wondwose Andualem Mobil no +251932219041, +251704215134.

Consent form

Title of the study

Investigator:

Description of the study

Dear participant, this research is being conducted to study Determinants of Community Based Health Insurance Utilization among eligible clients at St.Paul's Hospital Millennium Medical College and AaBET Hospital. It will identify barriers and facilitators of CBHI Utilization in Hospitals. Finally, the study will provide important information based on the findings

I confirm that your participation in this research project is voluntary and you have the right to decline to answer any question or to end the interview. I confirm that the research interview will last approximately 30- 50 minutes. The researcher will not identify you by name in any reports using information obtained from this interview and the confidentiality as a participant in this study will remain secure.

Participant statement on agreement after the informed consent:

I have read and or understood the explanation provided to me.

By signing this form, I agree to participate in this study based on the terms indicated above.

Participant's signature: _____

Date:_____

III. Questionnaire

: St, Paul hospital millennium medical College of intensive care medicine Department of respiratory therapy.

Organization _____ Code of Respondent _____ Date of Interview _____

Part 1: Socio-demographic data

Sr. No	. Question	Possible response
1	Age	_____ years
2	Sex	1. Male 2. Female
3	Education level	1. Not able to read and write 2. Able to read & write only 3. Primary (1-8 th) 4. Secondary (9-12 th) 5. Above 12 grade
4	Marital status	1. Married 2. Single 3. Divorced 4. Widowed 5. Separated
5	Number of family members	
6	Monthly salary	_____ ETB
	Part 2 work environment factor	
7	Work experience as cleaner	
8	What kind of PPE do you use on duty? (multiple answers possible)	1. Gloves 2. Boots 3. Uniform 4. Goggles 5. Back support
9	Is there laundry and baths in working unit ?	1 yes 2 No
10	How many day did you work here	Specify
11	Did you have additional work	1,yes

		2No if no skip no 12
12	What type of work	Specify
13	Do you use facemask when collecting dust Particles?	1. Yes 2. No (if No skip to Q.17)
14	How many day do you use a mask	Specify
15	Why you use facemask	1. for protection 2. for fulfillment of safety procedure 3. others (specify)_____
16	What is the source of supply?	1. Supplied by AA health office 2. Supplied by NGO 3. Supply by my self 4. Others (specify) _____
17	Why you don't use facemask?	1. Lack of supply 2. Don't know its importance 3. To reduce discomfort 4. Other (specify) _____
18	Do you ever have occupational health and safety training?	1. Yes 2. No (if No skip to Q.21)
19	What type of training was it?	1. Pre-employment training 2. On job training
20	Who trained you?	1. AA, health office 2. NGO 3. Other (specify) _____
21	Do you usually follow established safety procedures?	1. Yes (if Yes kiptoQ.23) 2. no
22	Why you do not follow safety procedures?	1. Not aware of procedures 2. Not aware of risks

		3. To save time 4. To save discomfort 5. Do not care 6. Other (specify) _____
23	Are there positive incentives for you to follow Safety procedures?	1. Yes 2. No (if No skip to Q.25)
24	What kind of incentive?	1. Recognition 2. Rewards of money 3. Rewards of time 4. Others (specify)
25	Are there negative incentives for you to not follow safety procedures?	1. Yes 2. No (if No skip to Q.27)
26	What kind of incentive?	1. Enforced disciplinary action 2. Documentation and follow up 3. Others (specify)_____
27	Do you ever been supervised at work place on Occupational safety issues?	1. Yes 2. No (if No skip to Q. 29)
28	Who supervise you on safety issues?	1. Immediate Supervisor 2. Higher officials of health office 3. NGOs 4. Other (specify) _____
29	What type of waste generated mostly in your working area ? (multiple answers possible)	1. Plastic 2. Paper or cardboard 3. Garden waste 4. Metal 5. Glass (pottery, ceramic) 6. Dusts/ash/soil particles 7. Electronic wastes 8. Food scrap/garbage 9. Disposable diapers/napkins

		10. Others (specify)_____
30	Where do you finally manage the wastes?	1Municipally 2. Centrally in the sub city 3. other (specify)_____
31	How waste is managed finally?	1. Burning 2. Burying 3. Recycling 4. Reuse 5. Other (specify) _____
	Part 3 Behavioral factor	
32	What is your smoking habit?	1. Active smoker 2. Ex- smoker 3. Never smoker
33	Do you have any sleeping problem?	1. Yes 2. No (if No skip to Q.35)
34	When do you develop this problem?	1. Before start of this work 2. After start of this work
	Part 4 Other factors	
35	An injury or operation affecting your chest	1. Yes 2. No
36	Do you have any medical follow up	1. Yes 2. No
37	Do you have a known disease	1.yes 2.No
38	What was the diagnosis	Specify -----
39	Heart trouble	1. Yes 2. No

40	Bronchitis	1. Yes 2. No
41	Pneumonia	1. Yes 2. No
42	Pleurisy	1. Yes 2. No
43	Pulmonary tuberculosis	1. Yes 2. No
44	Asthma	1. Yes 2. No
45	Other chest trouble	1. Yes 2. No
46	What type of energy source used in your home?	1. Electricity 2. Fuel gases 3. Biomass
	Part 5 respiratory symptoms ➤ cough	
47	Do you usually cough first thing in the morning?	1. Yes (if Yes ask Q.52) 2. No
48	Do you usually cough during the day or at night?	1. Yes (if yes ask Q.52) 2. No
49	Do you cough like this for as much as three Months in a year?	1. Yes 2. No
	➤ phlegm	
50	Do you usually bring up any phlegm from your chest first thing in the morning?	1. Yes (if Yes ask Q.54) 2. No
51	Do you usually bring up any phlegm from your chest during the day or at night?	1. Yes (if Yes ask Q. 54) 2. No
52	Do you bring up phlegm like this on most days for as much as three months in a year?	1. Yes 2. No

	wheezing	.
53	Have you had attacks of wheezing or whistling in your chest at any time for as much as three months in a year?	.Yes 2. No (if No skip to Q.56)
54	Have you had more than one such period?	1. Yes 2. No
55	In which season your wheezing is aggravated	1 summer 2 autumn 3 spring 4 winter
56	Have you ever had attacks of shortness of breath with Wheezing?	1. Yes 2. No (if No skip to Q.59)
57	Is/was your breathing absolutely normal between attacks?	1. Yes 2. No

58	Have you at any time in the last 12 months been woken at night by an attack of shortness of breath?	1. Yes 2. No
	Chest illnesses	
59	During the past three years have you had any chest illness which has kept you from your usual activities for as much as a week?	1. Yes 2. No (if No skip to Q. 61)
60	Did you bring up more phlegm than usual in any of these illnesses?	1. Yes 2. No
61	Have you had more than one illness like this in the past three years?	1. Yes 2. No
	Breathlessness	
62	Do you get breathless with strenuous exercise only	1. Yes 2. No
63	Do you get short of breath when hurrying on the level or walking up a slight hill?	1. Yes 2. No
64	Do you walk slower than people of the same age on the level because of breathlessness or have to stop for breath when walking at your own pace on the level?	1. Yes 2. No
65	Do you stop for breath after walking about 100 yards	1. Yes 2. No

	or after a few minutes on the level?	
66	Do you get too breathless to leave the house or when dressing?	1. Yes 2. No
67	During work breathe by	1 nose 2mouth 3never recognized
68	Do you think your job risk for hazards	1. Yes 2. No
69	Which type of hazards?	Specify -----

Thank you for your participation